The Quest for Missing Component: Dualities in Hybrid Optimal Control

Ali Pakniyat

#### Institute for Robotics and Intelligent Machines (IRIM), Georgia Institute of Technology

Dynamics and Control Systems Laboratory (DCSL) Meeting

August 22, 2019

### **Control Policy Determination**





- Information at time t: Everything that has happened in  $[t_0, t]$
- Prediction at time t: Everything that might happen in  $(t, t_f]$



- Information at time t: Everything that has happened in  $[t_0, t]$
- Prediction at time t: Everything that might happen in  $(t, t_f]$

#### Control Policy = $\{ \mathsf{Input}_t , t \in [t_0, t_f] \}$



- Information at time t: Everything that has happened in [t<sub>0</sub>, t]
- Prediction at time t: Everything that might happen in  $(t, t_f]$

#### Control Policy = $\{ \mathsf{Input}_t , t \in [t_0, t_f] \}$

Closed-Loop Policy: Input<sub>t</sub> = Function(t, Information<sub>t</sub>, Prediction<sub>t</sub>)



- Information at time t: Everything that has happened in [t<sub>0</sub>, t]
- Prediction at time t: Everything that might happen in  $(t, t_f]$

#### Control Policy = $\{ \mathsf{Input}_t , t \in [t_0, t_f] \}$

Closed-Loop Policy: Input<sub>t</sub> = Function(t, Information<sub>t</sub>, Prediction<sub>t</sub>)

• Feedback Policy:  $Input_t = Function(t, State_t, (?))$ 

### Insufficiency of Pure State Feedback



### Insufficiency of Pure State Feedback



#### **Optimal Input Structure**

$$u_t^o = \mathsf{Function}(\mathsf{t}, x_t, \mathbb{E}^{u}_{\mathfrak{S}^t} \{\tau\}, \mathbb{E}^{u}_{\mathfrak{S}^t} \{x_{\tau-}\})$$

### Insufficiency of Pure State Feedback



#### **Optimal Input Structure**

$$u_t^o = \mathsf{Function}(\mathbf{t}, x_t, \underset{\mathfrak{S}^t}{\mathbb{E}}^{\boldsymbol{u}} \{\tau\}, \underset{\mathfrak{S}^t}{\mathbb{E}}^{\boldsymbol{u}} \{x_{\tau-}\})$$

• For  $t \ge \tau$  the information of  $\underset{\Im^t}{\mathbb{E}} \{\tau\}$ ,  $\underset{\Im^t}{\mathbb{E}} \{x_{\tau-}\}$  are contained in the hybrid state  $h_t \equiv (q_1, x_t)$ .

## **Presentation Outline**

#### From Information (History) to State

- Hybrid State
- Hybrid Input

### Presentation Outline

#### From Information (History) to State

- Hybrid State
- Hybrid Input

#### The Missing Component

- Process–Process Duality: Adjoint Process (Minimum Principle)
- Measure–Function Duality: Value Function (Dynamic Programming)

### Presentation Outline

#### From Information (History) to State

- Hybrid State
- Hybrid Input

#### The Missing Component

- Process–Process Duality: Adjoint Process (Minimum Principle)
- Measure-Function Duality: Value Function (Dynamic Programming)

#### Computation and Implementation

- Linear Dynamics and Quadratic Costs
- Polynomial Dynamics and Costs
- Generally Nonlinear Dynamics and Costs

# Part I

## From History to the Notion of Hybrid State

### Flow of a Dynamic System

### $(\text{State})_t = \text{Flow}\left(t; t_0, (\text{State})_{t_0}, [\text{Input}]_{t_0}^t\right)$

$$(\text{State})_t = \text{Flow}\left(t; t_0, (\text{State})_{t_0}, [\text{Input}]_{t_0}^t\right)$$

Deterministic System

$$h_{t} = \varphi\left(t; t_{0}, h_{t_{0}}, I_{t_{0}}^{t}\right) = \varphi\left(t; s, \varphi\left(s; t_{0}, h_{t_{0}}, I_{t_{0}}^{s}\right), I_{s}^{t}\right)$$

6 / 33

$$(\text{State})_t = \text{Flow}\left(t; t_0, (\text{State})_{t_0}, [\text{Input}]_{t_0}^t\right)$$

Deterministic System

$$h_{t} = \varphi\left(t; t_{0}, h_{t_{0}}, I_{t_{0}}^{t}\right) = \varphi\left(t; s, \varphi\left(s; t_{0}, h_{t_{0}}, I_{t_{0}}^{s}\right), I_{s}^{t}\right)$$

Stochastic System

 $(\Omega, \Im, \Im^t, P) : \text{Filtered Probability Space}$  $P\Big(h_t \in B_h \mid t_0, h_{t_0}, I_{t_0}^t\Big) = \int P\Big(h_t \in B_h \mid s, h_s, I_s^t\Big) dP\Big(h_s \mid t_0, h_{t_0}, I_{t_0}^s\Big)$ 

The Notion of Hybrid State

$$h_t = \begin{bmatrix} q_t \\ x_t \end{bmatrix}, \qquad q_t \in Q, \ |Q| < \infty; \quad x_t \in \mathbb{R}^{n_q}$$

Discrete Dynamics - Finite Automata



The Notion of Hybrid State

$$h_t = \left[ egin{array}{c} q_t \ x_t \end{array} 
ight], \qquad q_t \in Q, \ |Q| < \infty; \ x_t \in \mathbb{R}^{n_q}$$

Discrete Dynamics - Finite Automata



#### **Continuous** Dynamics

Fix I, t,  $h_t$ . Then for infinitesimal increment(s): dt (and dw):  $dh_t = d \begin{bmatrix} q_t \\ x_t \end{bmatrix} = \varphi(t; t_0, h_{t_0}, I, w) - \varphi(t + dt; t_0, h_{t_0}, I, w) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ f_{q_t}(x_t, u_t)dt + g_{q_t}(x_t)dw \end{bmatrix}$  The Notion of Hybrid State

$$h_t = \left[ egin{array}{c} q_t \ x_t \end{array} 
ight], \qquad q_t \in Q, \ |Q| < \infty; \ x_t \in \mathbb{R}^{n_q}$$

Discrete Dynamics - Finite Automata



#### **Continuous** Dynamics

Fix I, t,  $h_t$ . Then for infinitesimal increment(s): dt (and dw):  $dh_t = d \begin{bmatrix} q_t \\ x_t \end{bmatrix} = \varphi(t; t_0, h_{t_0}, I, w) - \varphi(t + dt; t_0, h_{t_0}, I, w) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ f_{q_t}(x_t, u_t)dt + g_{q_t}(x_t)dw \end{bmatrix}$ 

#### Hybrid Input

- Discrete input  $\sigma$  interacts with (activates) the discrete state q updates.
- Continuous input *u* interacts with the evolution of the continuous state *x*.

Ali Pakniyat (Georgia Tech)

Stochastic Hybrid Optimal Control

7 / 33

### Electric Vehicle with Dual Planetary Transmission

#### Vehicle and Battery





Transmission



## Hybrid Input - Discrete Component

#### Dual Planetary Transmission [Patent US 9,702,438 B2]



### Hybrid Input - Discrete Component

#### Dual Planetary Transmission [Patent US 9,702,438 B2]





#### Ali Pakniyat (Georgia Tech)

#### Stochastic Hybrid Optimal Control

#### August 22, 2019 10 / 33

### Hybrid Input - Discrete Component





Ali Pakniyat (Georgia Tech)

Stochastic Hybrid Optimal Control

August 22, 2019 11 / 33

#### Stochastic Hybrid Systems

 $\left(\Omega,\Im,\Im^{t},P\right)$ 

Continuous Dynamics:

 $dx_{q_{i}}\left(t\right)=f_{q_{i}}\left(x_{q_{i}}\left(t\right),u_{q_{i}}\left(t\right)\right)dt+g_{q_{i}}\left(x_{q_{i}}\left(t\right)\right)dw,\quad t\in\left[t_{i},t_{i+1}\right)$ 

**Discrete Dynamics:** 

$$q(t_{j}) = \Gamma(q(t_{j}-), x_{q_{j-1}}(t_{j}-), \sigma_{q_{j-1}q_{j}})$$

Switching Manifold and Jump Transition Map:

$$m_{q_{j-1}q_{j}}\left(x_{q_{j-1}}\left(t_{j}-\right)\right) \stackrel{a.s.}{=} 0,$$

$$x_{q_{j}}\left(t_{j}\right) = \xi_{\sigma_{q_{j-1}q_{j}}}\left(x_{q_{j-1}}\left(t_{j}-\right)\right) \equiv \xi_{\sigma_{q_{j-1}q_{j}}}\left(\lim_{t\uparrow t_{j}} x_{q_{j-1}}\left(t\right)\right)$$

Assumptions on Diffusions:

$$g_{p}\left(\xi_{\sigma_{q,p}}\left(x
ight)
ight)=\xi_{\sigma_{q,p}}\left(g_{q}\left(x
ight)
ight),$$
 $\left\langle g_{q}\left(x
ight),
abla m_{q,p}\left(x
ight)
ight
angle=0$ 

Ali Pakniyat (Georgia Tech)

Stochastic Hybrid Optimal Control

August 22, 2019 12 / 33

### Stochastic Hybrid Optimal Control Problem

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{Total \ Cost} \\ J\left(t_{0}, t_{f}, h_{0}, L; I_{L}\right) &:= \mathbb{E}\left\{\sum_{i=0}^{L} \int_{t_{i}}^{t_{i+1}} I_{q_{i}}\left(\mathsf{x}_{q_{i}}\left(s\right), u\left(s\right)\right) ds \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^{L} c_{\sigma_{q_{j-1}q_{j}}}\left(t_{j}, \mathsf{x}_{q_{j-1}}\left(t_{j}-\right)\right) + h\left(\mathsf{x}_{q_{L}}\left(t_{f}\right)\right)\right\} \end{aligned}$$

#### Cost-to-Go

$$J(t, q, x, L-j+1; I_{L-j+1}) = \underset{\Im t}{\mathbb{E}} \left\{ \int_{t}^{t_{j}} I_{q}(x, u) \, ds + \sum_{i=j}^{L} c_{\sigma_{q_{i-1}q_{i}}}(t_{i}, x_{q_{i-1}}(t_{i}-)) + \sum_{i=j}^{L} \int_{t_{i}}^{t_{i+1}} I_{q_{i}}(x_{q_{i}}(s), u(s)) \, ds + h(x_{q_{L}}(t_{f})) \right\}$$

#### Value Function

$$V(t, q, x, L-j+1) = \inf_{I_{L-j+1}} \left\{ \mathbb{E}_{\Im^t} \{ J(t, q, x, L-j+1; I_{L-j+1}) \} \right\}$$

Ali Pakniyat (Georgia Tech)

# Part II

# **Duality Relationships**

## Process–Process Duality

#### Itô's Lemma

$$dZ(t) = b(t) dt + \sigma(t) dw(t)$$
$$d\hat{Z}(t) = \hat{b}(t) dt + \hat{\sigma}(t) dw(t)$$

Then for  $\tau_2 \geq \tau_1$ :

$$\left\langle Z\left(\tau_{2}\right),\hat{Z}\left(\tau_{2}\right)\right\rangle = \left\langle Z\left(\tau_{1}\right),\hat{Z}\left(\tau_{1}\right)\right\rangle \\ + \int_{\tau_{1}}^{\tau_{2}} \left\{ \left\langle Z\left(s\right),\hat{b}\left(s\right)\right\rangle + \left\langle b\left(s\right),\hat{Z}\left(s\right)\right\rangle + \left\langle \sigma\left(s\right),\hat{\sigma}\left(s\right)\right\rangle \right\} ds \\ + \int_{\tau_{1}}^{\tau_{2}} \left\{ \left\langle \sigma\left(s\right),\hat{Z}\left(s\right)\right\rangle + \left\langle Z\left(s\right),\hat{\sigma}\left(s\right)\right\rangle \right\} dw\left(s\right)$$

### Process–Process Duality

#### Itô's Lemma

$$dZ(t) = b(t) dt + \sigma(t) dw(t)$$
$$d\hat{Z}(t) = \hat{b}(t) dt + \hat{\sigma}(t) dw(t)$$

Then for  $\tau_2 \geq \tau_1$ :

$$\left\langle Z\left(\tau_{2}\right),\hat{Z}\left(\tau_{2}\right)\right\rangle = \left\langle Z\left(\tau_{1}\right),\hat{Z}\left(\tau_{1}\right)\right\rangle \\ + \int_{\tau_{1}}^{\tau_{2}} \left\{ \left\langle Z\left(s\right),\hat{b}\left(s\right)\right\rangle + \left\langle b\left(s\right),\hat{Z}\left(s\right)\right\rangle + \left\langle \sigma\left(s\right),\hat{\sigma}\left(s\right)\right\rangle \right\} ds \\ + \int_{\tau_{1}}^{\tau_{2}} \left\{ \left\langle \sigma\left(s\right),\hat{Z}\left(s\right)\right\rangle + \left\langle Z\left(s\right),\hat{\sigma}\left(s\right)\right\rangle \right\} dw\left(s\right)$$

Processes Z and  $\hat{Z}$  are adjoint pairs if

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{S}^{t}}\left\langle Z\left( au_{2}
ight),\hat{Z}\left( au_{2}
ight)
ight
angle \stackrel{a.s.}{=}\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{S}^{t}}\left\langle Z\left( au_{1}
ight),\hat{Z}\left( au_{1}
ight)
ight
angle ,\qquad\quad t\in\left[t_{0},\infty
ight)$$

Ali Pakniyat (Georgia Tech)

### Process–Process Duality

Needle Variation

$$u^{\epsilon}(s) = \begin{cases} u_{q_{0}}^{e}(s) & \text{if} \quad t_{0} \leq s < t \\ v & \text{if} \quad t \leq s < t + \epsilon \\ u_{q_{0}}^{e}(s) & \text{if} \quad t + \epsilon \leq s < \tau - \delta^{\epsilon} \\ u_{q_{1}}^{e}(\tau) & \text{if} \quad \tau - \delta^{\epsilon} \leq s < \tau \\ u_{q_{1}}^{e}(s) & \text{if} \quad \tau \leq s \leq t_{f} \end{cases}$$

$$y(s) := \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{x_{q_{i}}^{e}(s) - x_{q_{i}}^{o}(s)}{\epsilon}$$

$$y(\tau) \stackrel{a.s.}{=} \nabla \xi y(\tau -) + \frac{\nabla m^{T} y(\tau -)}{\nabla m^{T} f_{q_{0}}} (f_{q_{1}} - \nabla \xi f_{q_{0}})$$

Duality of  $(z_s, y_s)$  and  $(1, \lambda_s)$  in the Stochastic Minimum Principle

$$d\begin{bmatrix} z_{s} \\ y_{s} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial l_{q_{i}}(x_{s}^{\circ}, u_{s}^{\circ})}{\partial x_{s}} y_{s} \\ \frac{\partial f_{q_{i}}(x_{s}^{\circ}, u_{s}^{\circ})}{\partial x_{s}} y_{s} \end{bmatrix} ds + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{\partial g_{q_{i}}(x_{s}^{\circ})}{\partial x_{s}} y_{s} \end{bmatrix} dw$$
$$d\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \lambda_{s} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -\frac{\partial l_{q_{i}}(x_{s}^{\circ}, u_{s}^{\circ})}{\partial x_{s}} - \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f_{q_{i}}(x_{s}^{\circ}, u_{s}^{\circ})}{\partial x_{s}} \end{bmatrix}^{T} \lambda_{s} \end{bmatrix} ds + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ K_{s} \end{bmatrix} dw$$

Duality of  $(z_s, y_s)$  and  $(1, \lambda_s)$  in the Stochastic Minimum Principle

$$d\begin{bmatrix} z_{s} \\ y_{s} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial l_{q_{i}}(x_{s}^{\circ}, u_{s}^{\circ})}{\partial x_{s}} y_{s} \\ \frac{\partial f_{q_{i}}(x_{s}^{\circ}, u_{s}^{\circ})}{\partial x_{s}} y_{s} \end{bmatrix} ds + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{\partial g_{q_{i}}(x_{s}^{\circ})}{\partial x_{s}} y_{s} \end{bmatrix} dw$$
$$d\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \lambda_{s} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -\frac{\partial l_{q_{i}}(x_{s}^{\circ}, u_{s}^{\circ})}{\partial x_{s}} - \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f_{q_{i}}(x_{s}^{\circ}, u_{s}^{\circ})}{\partial x_{s}} \end{bmatrix}^{T} \lambda_{s} \end{bmatrix} ds + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ K_{s} \end{bmatrix} dw$$

(z<sub>s</sub>, y<sub>s</sub>) are forward linear processes resulted from variations around optimal processes
(1, λ<sub>s</sub>) are backward linear processes, adjoint to the future values of (z<sub>s</sub>, y<sub>s</sub>) by

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathfrak{F}^t}\Big[z_{\tau_2} + \langle y_{\tau_2}, \lambda_{\tau_2}\rangle\Big] = \mathbb{E}_{\mathfrak{F}^t}\Big[z_{\tau_1} + \langle y_{\tau_1}, \lambda_{\tau_1}\rangle\Big]$$

Duality of  $(z_s, y_s)$  and  $(1, \lambda_s)$  in the Stochastic Minimum Principle

$$d\begin{bmatrix} z_{s} \\ y_{s} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial l_{q_{i}}(x_{s}^{\circ}, u_{s}^{\circ})}{\partial x_{s}} y_{s} \\ \frac{\partial f_{q_{i}}(x_{s}^{\circ}, u_{s}^{\circ})}{\partial x_{s}} y_{s} \end{bmatrix} ds + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{\partial g_{q_{i}}(x_{s}^{\circ})}{\partial x_{s}} y_{s} \end{bmatrix} dw$$
$$d\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \lambda_{s} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -\frac{\partial l_{q_{i}}(x_{s}^{\circ}, u_{s}^{\circ})}{\partial x_{s}} - \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f_{q_{i}}(x_{s}^{\circ}, u_{s}^{\circ})}{\partial x_{s}} \end{bmatrix}^{T} \lambda_{s} \end{bmatrix} ds + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ K_{s} \end{bmatrix} dw$$

•  $(z_s, y_s)$  are forward linear processes resulted from variations around optimal processes

•  $(1, \lambda_s)$  are backward linear processes, adjoint to the future values of  $(z_s, y_s)$  by

$$\mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{\Im^t} \Big[ z_{ au_2} + \langle y_{ au_2}, \lambda_{ au_2} 
angle \Big] = \mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{\Im^t} \Big[ z_{ au_1} + \langle y_{ au_1}, \lambda_{ au_1} 
angle \Big]$$

In particular, the positivity of cost variations over the cone of variations  $(z_s, y_s)$  translates into those on Hamiltonian functions in  $(1, \lambda_s)$ 

$$H_q(x_q, u_q, \lambda_q, K_q) := I_q(x_q, u_q) + \lambda_q^T f_q(x_q, u_q) + \operatorname{tr}\left[K_q^T g_q(x_q)\right]$$

$$H_{q}\left(x_{q}, u_{q}, \lambda_{q}, K_{q}\right) := I_{q}\left(x_{q}, u_{q}\right) + \lambda_{q}^{T} f_{q}\left(x_{q}, u_{q}\right) + \operatorname{tr}\left[K_{q}^{T} g_{q}\left(x_{q}\right)\right]$$

Hamiltonian Minimization

$$u_t^o = \underset{u_q(t) \in U_{q_t}}{\arg \inf} H_{q_t} \left( x_q^o(t), u_q(t), \lambda_q^o(t), K_q^o(t) \right)$$

$$H_{q}(x_{q}, u_{q}, \lambda_{q}, K_{q}) := I_{q}(x_{q}, u_{q}) + \lambda_{q}^{T}f_{q}(x_{q}, u_{q}) + \operatorname{tr}\left[K_{q}^{T}g_{q}(x_{q})\right]$$

Hamiltonian Minimization

$$u_t^o = \underset{u_q(t) \in U_{q_t}}{\arg \inf} H_{q_t} \left( x_q^o(t), u_q(t), \lambda_q^o(t), K_q^o(t) \right)$$

Hamiltonian Canonical Equations

$$dx_{q}^{\circ} = \frac{\partial H_{q^{\circ}}}{\partial \lambda_{q}} \left( x_{q}^{\circ}, u_{q}^{\circ}, \lambda_{q}^{\circ}, K_{q}^{\circ} \right) dt + \frac{\partial H_{q^{\circ}}}{\partial K_{q}} \left( x_{q}^{\circ}, u_{q}^{\circ}, \lambda_{q}^{\circ}, K_{q}^{\circ} \right) dw,$$
$$d\lambda_{q}^{\circ} = -\frac{\partial H_{q^{\circ}}}{\partial x_{q}} \left( x_{q}^{\circ}, u_{q}^{\circ}, \lambda_{q}^{\circ}, K_{q}^{\circ} \right) dt + K_{q}^{\circ} dw,$$

$$H_{q}(x_{q}, u_{q}, \lambda_{q}, K_{q}) := I_{q}(x_{q}, u_{q}) + \lambda_{q}^{T}f_{q}(x_{q}, u_{q}) + \operatorname{tr}\left[K_{q}^{T}g_{q}(x_{q})\right]$$

Hamiltonian Minimization

$$u_t^o = \underset{u_q(t) \in U_{q_t}}{\arg \inf} H_{q_t} \left( x_q^o(t), u_q(t), \lambda_q^o(t), K_q^o(t) \right)$$

Hamiltonian Canonical Equations

$$dx_{q}^{o} = \frac{\partial H_{q^{o}}}{\partial \lambda_{q}} \left( x_{q}^{o}, u_{q}^{o}, \lambda_{q}^{o}, K_{q}^{o} \right) dt + \frac{\partial H_{q^{o}}}{\partial K_{q}} \left( x_{q}^{o}, u_{q}^{o}, \lambda_{q}^{o}, K_{q}^{o} \right) dw,$$
  
$$d\lambda_{q}^{o} = -\frac{\partial H_{q^{o}}}{\partial x_{q}} \left( x_{q}^{o}, u_{q}^{o}, \lambda_{q}^{o}, K_{q}^{o} \right) dt + K_{q}^{o} dw,$$

State Boundary Conditions

$$x_{q_0}^{o}(t_0) = x_0,$$
  $x_{q_j}^{o}(t_j) \stackrel{a.s.}{=} \xi_{\sigma_{q_{j-1}},q_j}\left(x_{q_{j-1}}^{o}(t_j-)\right)$ 

$$H_{q}(x_{q}, u_{q}, \lambda_{q}, K_{q}) := I_{q}(x_{q}, u_{q}) + \lambda_{q}^{T}f_{q}(x_{q}, u_{q}) + \operatorname{tr}\left[K_{q}^{T}g_{q}(x_{q})\right]$$

Hamiltonian Minimization

$$u_t^o = \underset{u_q(t) \in U_{q_t}}{\arg \inf} H_{q_t} \left( x_q^o(t), u_q(t), \lambda_q^o(t), K_q^o(t) \right)$$

Hamiltonian Canonical Equations

$$dx_{q}^{o} = \frac{\partial H_{q^{o}}}{\partial \lambda_{q}} \left( x_{q}^{o}, u_{q}^{o}, \lambda_{q}^{o}, K_{q}^{o} \right) dt + \frac{\partial H_{q^{o}}}{\partial K_{q}} \left( x_{q}^{o}, u_{q}^{o}, \lambda_{q}^{o}, K_{q}^{o} \right) dw_{q}$$
$$d\lambda_{q}^{o} = -\frac{\partial H_{q^{o}}}{\partial x_{q}} \left( x_{q}^{o}, u_{q}^{o}, \lambda_{q}^{o}, K_{q}^{o} \right) dt + K_{q}^{o} dw,$$

State Boundary Conditions

$$x_{q_0}^{o}(t_0) = x_0,$$
  $x_{q_j}^{o}(t_j) \stackrel{\text{a.s.}}{=} \xi_{\sigma_{q_{j-1},q_j}}\left(x_{q_{j-1}}^{o}(t_j-)\right)$ 

Adjoint State Boundary Conditions

$$\lambda_{q_{L}}^{o}\left(t_{f}\right) \stackrel{\text{a.s.}}{=} \frac{\partial h\left(x_{q_{L}}^{o}\left(t_{f}\right)\right)}{\partial x_{q_{L}}}, \qquad \lambda_{q_{j-1}}^{o}\left(t_{j}\right) \stackrel{\text{a.s.}}{=} \left[\frac{\partial \xi_{\sigma_{q_{j-1},q_{j}}}}{\partial x_{q_{j-1}}}\right]^{T} \lambda_{q_{j}}^{o}\left(t_{j}\right) + p \frac{\partial m_{q_{j-1},q_{j}}}{\partial x_{q_{j-1}}} + \frac{\partial c_{\sigma_{q_{j-1},q_{j}}}}{\partial x_{q_{j-1}}}$$

$$H_{q}(x_{q}, u_{q}, \lambda_{q}, K_{q}) := I_{q}(x_{q}, u_{q}) + \lambda_{q}^{T}f_{q}(x_{q}, u_{q}) + \operatorname{tr}\left[K_{q}^{T}g_{q}(x_{q})\right]$$

Hamiltonian Minimization

$$u_t^o = \underset{u_q(t) \in U_{q_t}}{\operatorname{arg inf}} H_{q_t} \left( x_q^o(t), u_q(t), \lambda_q^o(t), K_q^o(t) \right)$$

Hamiltonian Canonical Equations

$$dx_{q}^{o} = \frac{\partial H_{q^{o}}}{\partial \lambda_{q}} \left( x_{q}^{o}, u_{q}^{o}, \lambda_{q}^{o}, K_{q}^{o} \right) dt + \frac{\partial H_{q^{o}}}{\partial K_{q}} \left( x_{q}^{o}, u_{q}^{o}, \lambda_{q}^{o}, K_{q}^{o} \right) dw_{q}$$
$$d\lambda_{q}^{o} = -\frac{\partial H_{q^{o}}}{\partial x_{q}} \left( x_{q}^{o}, u_{q}^{o}, \lambda_{q}^{o}, K_{q}^{o} \right) dt + K_{q}^{o} dw,$$

State Boundary Conditions

$$x_{q_0}^{o}(t_0) = x_0,$$
  $x_{q_j}^{o}(t_j) \stackrel{\text{a.s.}}{=} \xi_{\sigma_{q_{j-1}},q_j}\left(x_{q_{j-1}}^{o}(t_j-)\right)$ 

Adjoint State Boundary Conditions

$$\lambda_{q_{L}}^{o}\left(t_{f}\right) \stackrel{a.s.}{=} \frac{\partial h\left(x_{q_{L}}^{o}\left(t_{f}\right)\right)}{\partial x_{q_{L}}}, \qquad \lambda_{q_{j-1}}^{o}\left(t_{j}\right) \stackrel{a.s.}{=} \left[\frac{\partial \xi_{\sigma_{q_{j-1},q_{j}}}}{\partial x_{q_{j-1}}}\right]^{T} \lambda_{q_{j}}^{o}\left(t_{j}\right) + p \frac{\partial m_{q_{j-1},q_{j}}}{\partial x_{q_{j-1}}} + \frac{\partial c_{\sigma_{q_{j-1},q_{j}}}}{\partial x_{q_{j-1}}}$$

Hamiltonian Boundary Conditions

$$\left. \mathsf{H}_{q_{j-1}} - \mathsf{tr} \left[ {\mathcal{K}_{q_{j-1}}^{o}}^{ au} g_{q_{j-1}} 
ight] 
ight|_{t_{j}-} = \left. \mathsf{H}_{q_{j}} - \mathsf{tr} \left[ {\mathcal{K}_{q_{j}}^{o}}^{ au} g_{q_{j}} 
ight] 
ight|_{t_{j}+}$$

Ali Pakniyat (Georgia Tech)

Stochastic Hybrid Optimal Control

August 22, 2019

18 / 33

### Killed Markov Processes [CDC 2019 (accepted)]



19 / 33

### Killed Markov Processes [CDC 2019 (accepted)]



First Arrival Time  $\theta$  on the Boundary  $X^{\partial}$  $x_{\theta-} \equiv x_{\theta} \in X^{\partial}$ 

## Killed Markov Processes [CDC 2019 (accepted)]



First Arrival Time  $\theta$  on the Boundary  $X^{\partial}$  $x_{\theta-} \equiv x_{\theta} \in X^{\partial}$ 

Total Cost

$$J(t, x, \boldsymbol{u}) = \mathbb{E}_{t, x}^{\boldsymbol{u}} \left\{ \int_{t}^{\min\{\theta, T\}} I(x_{s}, u_{s}) ds + \mathbb{I}_{[t, T)}(\theta) \cdot \ell(\theta, x_{\theta}) + \mathbb{I}_{[t, T)}^{c}(\theta) \cdot L(x_{T}) \right\},$$

Input-State-Time Occupation Measure  $\mu^{\boldsymbol{u}}\left(B_{t}, B_{x}^{0}, B_{u}\right) := \mathbb{E}_{t,x}^{\boldsymbol{u}} \int_{B_{t} \cap [t,T)} \mathbb{I}_{B_{x}^{0}}(x_{s}) \cdot \mathbb{I}_{B_{u}}(u_{s}) ds,$ 

Input-State-Time Occupation Measure  $\mu^{\boldsymbol{u}}\left(B_{t}, B_{x}^{0}, B_{u}\right) := \mathbb{E}_{t,x}^{\boldsymbol{u}} \int_{B_{t} \cap [t,T)} \mathbb{I}_{B_{x}^{0}}(x_{s}) \cdot \mathbb{I}_{B_{u}}(u_{s}) ds,$ 

Switching State-Time Occupation Measure  $\eta^{\boldsymbol{u}}\left(B_{t}, B_{x}^{\partial}\right) := P_{t,x}^{\boldsymbol{u}}\left(\mathbb{I}_{[t,T)\cap B_{t}}(\theta) = 1, x_{\theta-}^{\boldsymbol{u}} \in B_{x}^{\partial}\right),$ 

# Input-State-Time Occupation Measure $\mu^{\boldsymbol{u}}\left(B_{t}, B_{x}^{0}, B_{u}\right) := \mathbb{E}_{t,x}^{\boldsymbol{u}} \int_{B_{t} \cap [t,T)} \mathbb{I}_{B_{x}^{0}}(x_{s}) \cdot \mathbb{I}_{B_{u}}(u_{s}) ds,$

Switching State-Time Occupation Measure  $\eta^{\boldsymbol{u}}\left(B_{t}, B_{x}^{\partial}\right) := P_{t,x}^{\boldsymbol{u}}\left(\mathbb{I}_{[t,T)\cap B_{t}}(\theta) = 1, \ x_{\theta^{-}}^{\boldsymbol{u}} \in B_{x}^{\partial}\right),$ 

## Terminal State Occupation Measure $\kappa^{\boldsymbol{u}}(B_{\mathsf{X}}) := P_{t,\mathsf{X}}^{\boldsymbol{u}} \Big( \mathbb{I}_{[t,T)}(\theta) = 0 \ , \ \mathbf{x}_{T}^{\boldsymbol{u}} \in B_{\mathsf{X}} \Big).$

# Input-State-Time Occupation Measure $\mu^{\boldsymbol{u}}\left(B_{t}, B_{x}^{0}, B_{u}\right) := \mathbb{E}_{t,x}^{\boldsymbol{u}} \int_{B_{t} \cap [t,T)} \mathbb{I}_{B_{x}^{0}}(x_{s}) \cdot \mathbb{I}_{B_{u}}(u_{s}) ds,$

## Switching State-Time Occupation Measure $\eta^{\boldsymbol{u}}\left(B_{t}, B_{x}^{\partial}\right) := P_{t,x}^{\boldsymbol{u}}\left(\mathbb{I}_{[t,T)\cap B_{t}}(\theta) = 1 , x_{\theta-}^{\boldsymbol{u}} \in B_{x}^{\partial}\right),$

## Terminal State Occupation Measure $\kappa^{\boldsymbol{u}}(B_{x}) := P_{t,x}^{\boldsymbol{u}} \Big( \mathbb{I}_{[t,T)}(\theta) = 0 \ , \ x_{T}^{\boldsymbol{u}} \in B_{x} \Big).$

Defining  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{S}} := \{ (\mu^{\boldsymbol{u}}, \eta^{\boldsymbol{u}}, \kappa^{\boldsymbol{u}}) : \boldsymbol{u} \in \mathcal{U} \}$  we obtain:

$$V(t,x) = \inf_{(\mu^{\boldsymbol{u}},\eta^{\boldsymbol{u}},\kappa^{\boldsymbol{u}})\in\mathcal{M}_{S}}\left\{\left\langle I,\mu^{\boldsymbol{u}}\right\rangle + \left\langle \ell,\eta^{\boldsymbol{u}}\right\rangle + \left\langle L,\kappa^{\boldsymbol{u}}\right\rangle\right\}$$

### Reformulation

#### Infinitesimal Operator

$$\mathcal{A}^{y}v(t,x) = \frac{\partial v(t,x)}{\partial t} + \left\langle f(x,y), \frac{\partial v(t,x)}{\partial x} \right\rangle + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} \left( g^{T}g \frac{\partial^{2}v(t,x)}{\partial x^{2}} \right)$$

### Reformulation

#### Infinitesimal Operator

$$\mathcal{A}^{y}v(t,x) = \frac{\partial v(t,x)}{\partial t} + \left\langle f(x,y), \frac{\partial v(t,x)}{\partial x} \right\rangle + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} \left( g^{T}g \frac{\partial^{2}v(t,x)}{\partial x^{2}} \right)$$

Dynkin Formula

$$\mathbb{E}_{t,x}^{\boldsymbol{u}} v(\tau, x_{\tau}) = \mathbb{E}_{t,x}^{\boldsymbol{u}} \Big\{ \mathbb{I}_{[t,T)}(\theta) \cdot v(\theta, x_{\theta}) + \mathbb{I}_{[t,T)}^{c}(\theta) \cdot v(T, x_{T}) \Big\} \\ = v(t,x) + \mathbb{E}_{t,x}^{\boldsymbol{u}} \int_{t}^{\min\{\theta,T\}} \mathcal{A}^{u_{s}} v(s, x_{s}) ds$$

### Reformulation

#### Infinitesimal Operator

$$\mathcal{A}^{y}v(t,x) = \frac{\partial v(t,x)}{\partial t} + \left\langle f(x,y), \frac{\partial v(t,x)}{\partial x} \right\rangle + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} \left( g^{T}g \frac{\partial^{2}v(t,x)}{\partial x^{2}} \right)$$

Dynkin Formula

$$\mathbb{E}_{t,x}^{\boldsymbol{u}} v(\tau, x_{\tau}) = \mathbb{E}_{t,x}^{\boldsymbol{u}} \Big\{ \mathbb{I}_{[t,T)}(\theta) \cdot v(\theta, x_{\theta}) + \mathbb{I}_{[t,T)}^{c}(\theta) \cdot v(T, x_{\tau}) \Big\} \\ = v(t,x) + \mathbb{E}_{t,x}^{\boldsymbol{u}} \int_{t}^{\min\{\theta,T\}} \mathcal{A}^{\boldsymbol{u}_{s}} v(s, x_{s}) ds$$

Defining the adjoint  $\mathcal{A}^*$  to be one satisfying  $\langle \mathcal{A}v, \mu \rangle = \langle v, \mathcal{A}^*\mu \rangle$  we obtain

$$\eta^{\boldsymbol{u}} + \kappa^{\boldsymbol{u}} = \delta_{t,x} + \mathcal{A}^* \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\boldsymbol{u}}$$

### Weak Problem

A Convex Subset of Signed Measures Define  $\mathcal{M}_W := \mathcal{M}_{PB} \cap \mathcal{M}_A$ , with

$$\mathcal{M}_{PB} := \left\{ M \equiv (\mu, \eta, \kappa) \in \mathfrak{M}_{+} \left( [0, T] \times X \times U \right) : \|M\| \leq T - t + 1 \right\}$$
$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}} := \left\{ M \equiv (\mu, \eta, \kappa) \in \mathfrak{M}_{\pm} \left( [0, T] \times X \times U \right) : \eta + \kappa = \delta_{t,x} + \mathcal{A}^{*} \mu \right\}$$

### Weak Problem

A Convex Subset of Signed Measures Define  $\mathcal{M}_W := \mathcal{M}_{PB} \cap \mathcal{M}_A$ , with

$$\mathcal{M}_{PB} := \left\{ M \equiv (\mu, \eta, \kappa) \in \mathfrak{M}_{+} \left( [0, T] \times X \times U \right) : \|M\| \leq T - t + 1 \right\}$$
$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}} := \left\{ M \equiv (\mu, \eta, \kappa) \in \mathfrak{M}_{\pm} \left( [0, T] \times X \times U \right) : \eta + \kappa = \delta_{t,x} + \mathcal{A}^{*} \mu \right\}$$

Weak Problem

$$W(t,x) := \min_{M \in \mathcal{M}_W} \langle I, \mu \rangle + \langle \ell, \eta \rangle + \langle L, \kappa \rangle \leq V(t,x)$$

### Weak Problem

A Convex Subset of Signed Measures Define  $\mathcal{M}_W := \mathcal{M}_{PB} \cap \mathcal{M}_A$ , with

$$\mathcal{M}_{PB} := \left\{ M \equiv (\mu, \eta, \kappa) \in \mathfrak{M}_{+} \left( [0, T] \times X \times U \right) : \|M\| \leq T - t + 1 \right\}$$
$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}} := \left\{ M \equiv (\mu, \eta, \kappa) \in \mathfrak{M}_{\pm} \left( [0, T] \times X \times U \right) : \eta + \kappa = \delta_{t,x} + \mathcal{A}^{*} \mu \right\}$$

Weak Problem

$$W(t,x) := \min_{M \in \mathcal{M}_W} \langle I, \mu \rangle + \langle \ell, \eta \rangle + \langle L, \kappa \rangle \leq V(t,x)$$

#### **Dual Problem**

### Equivalence of the Strong and Weak Problems

$$W(t,x) = \min_{M \in \mathfrak{M}_{\pm}([0,T] \times X \times U)} h_1(M) - h_2(M)$$

$$h_1(M) := \begin{cases} \langle I, \mu \rangle + \langle \ell, \eta \rangle + \langle L, \kappa \rangle & \text{if } M \equiv (\mu, \eta, \kappa) \in \mathcal{M}_{PB} \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
$$h_2(M) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } M \equiv (\mu, \eta, \kappa) \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}} \\ -\infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Legendre-Fenchel Transform

$$h_{1}^{*}(c) := \sup_{M \in \mathcal{M}_{PB}} \left\{ \left\langle c^{0}, \mu \right\rangle + \left\langle c^{\partial}, \eta \right\rangle + \left\langle c^{T}, \kappa \right\rangle - \left\langle I, \mu \right\rangle + \left\langle \ell, \eta \right\rangle + \left\langle L, \kappa \right\rangle \right\}$$
$$h_{2}^{*}(c) := \inf_{M \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}}} \left\{ \left\langle c^{0}, \mu \right\rangle + \left\langle c^{\partial}, \eta \right\rangle + \left\langle c^{T}, \kappa \right\rangle \right\} = \begin{cases} \lim_{i \to \infty} v_{i}(t, x) & \text{if } \begin{cases} c^{0} = -\lim_{i \to \infty} \mathcal{A}v_{i}(t, x) \\ c^{\partial} = \lim_{i \to \infty} v_{i}^{\partial}(t, x) \\ c^{T} = \lim_{i \to \infty} v_{i}^{T}(t, x) \end{cases}$$
$$(c^{0}) = \lim_{i \to \infty} v_{i}^{O}(t, x)$$
$$(c^{0}) = \lim_{i \to \infty} v_{i}^{O}(t, x)$$

# Part III

# Numerical Algorithms

#### Stone–Weierstrass Theorem

Over the compact domain  $[0, T] \times X \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ , the algebra of polynomials,  $\mathbb{R}[s, x]$ , is dense in  $C([0, T] \times X)$  and, consequently, in  $C^2([0, T] \times X)$ .

#### Stone–Weierstrass Theorem

Over the compact domain  $[0, T] \times X \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ , the algebra of polynomials,  $\mathbb{R}[s, x]$ , is dense in  $C([0, T] \times X)$  and, consequently, in  $C^2([0, T] \times X)$ .

Polynomial Approximation Theorem

$$egin{aligned} V(t_0,x_0) &= \sup \left\{ v\left(t_0,x_0
ight) : v \in C^2\left([0,T] imes X
ight), && & & & \mathcal{A}v+l \geq 0, \quad v^\partial-\ell \leq 0, \quad v^T-L \leq 0 
ight\} \end{aligned}$$

#### Stone–Weierstrass Theorem

Over the compact domain  $[0, T] \times X \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ , the algebra of polynomials,  $\mathbb{R}[s, x]$ , is dense in  $C([0, T] \times X)$  and, consequently, in  $C^2([0, T] \times X)$ .

Polynomial Approximation Theorem

$$\begin{split} V(t_0,x_0) &= \sup \left\{ v\left(t_0,x_0\right) : v \in \mathbb{R}[t,x], \\ \mathcal{A}v + l \geq 0, \quad v^\partial - \ell \leq 0, \quad v^T - L \leq 0 \right\} \end{split}$$

#### Putinar's Positivstellensatz

If  $w(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x]$  is strictly positive on X where  $X := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : h_X^{(i)}(x) \ge 0, i = 1, \cdots, m\}$   $\Rightarrow w(x) = w^{(0)}(x) + \sum_{i=1}^m w^{(i)}(x) \cdot h_X^{(i)}(x)$ 

#### Stone–Weierstrass Theorem

Over the compact domain  $[0, T] \times X \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ , the algebra of polynomials,  $\mathbb{R}[s, x]$ , is dense in  $C([0, T] \times X)$  and, consequently, in  $C^2([0, T] \times X)$ .

#### Polynomial Approximation Theorem

$$V(t_0, x_0) = \sup \left\{ v(t_0, x_0) : v \in \mathbb{R}[t, x], \\ \mathcal{A}v + l \in Q_{2k}(h_T, h_X, h_U), \quad \ell - v^{\partial} \in Q_{2k}(h_T, h_X), \quad L - v^T \in Q_{2k}(h_X) \right\}$$

#### Putinar's Positivstellensatz

If  $w(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x]$  is strictly positive on X where  $X := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : h_X^{(i)}(x) \ge 0, i = 1, \cdots, m\}$   $\Rightarrow w(x) = w^{(0)}(x) + \sum_{i=1}^m w^{(i)}(x) \cdot h_X^{(i)}(x)$ 

### LQG Hybrid Optimal Control Problems

#### Hybrid Dynamics:

$$dx_{q_i} = \left(A_{q_i}x_{q_i} + B_{q_i}u_{q_i}\right)dt + G_{q_i}dw, \qquad t \in [t_i, t_{i+1}),$$

#### Hybrid Cost:

$$J = \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{L} \int_{t_{i}}^{t_{i+1}} \|x_{q_{i}}(t)\|_{L_{q_{i}}}^{2} + \|u_{q_{i}}(t)\|_{R_{q_{i}}}^{2} dt + \|x_{q_{L}}(t_{f})\|_{H_{q_{L}}}^{2} \right\}$$

#### Jump Transition Map:

$$x_{q_j}(t_j) = \Psi_{\sigma_j} x_{q_{j-1}}(t_j-) \equiv \Psi_{q_{j-1}q_j} x_{q_{j-1}}(t_j-)$$

#### Switching Manifolds:

$$m_{q_{i-1}q_i}\left(x_{q_{i-1}}(t_i-)\right) \equiv \frac{1}{2}\left(\left\|x_{q_{i-1}}(t_i-)\right\|_{M_{q_{i-1}q_i}}^2 - r_{q_{i-1}q_i}^2\right) = 0,$$

Assumptions on Diffusions and Switching Manifolds:

$$G_{q_k} = \Psi_{q_{k-1}q_k} G_{q_{k-1}}, \qquad M_{q_{i-1}q_i} G_{q_i} = 0$$

Ali Pakniyat (Georgia Tech)

Stochastic Hybrid Optimal Control

### Hybrid Optimal Control Solutions [In Preparation]

Optimal Feedback Input:

$$u_{q_{i}}^{o}\left(t
ight)=-R_{q_{i}}^{-1}B_{q_{i}}^{T}\left(\Pi_{q_{i}}\left(t;\mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{\Im^{t}}\left(t_{i+1}
ight)
ight)\mathsf{x}_{q_{i}}\left(t
ight)+\mathsf{s}_{q_{i}}\left(t;\mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{\Im^{t}}\left(t_{i+1},\mathsf{x}_{q_{i}}\left(t_{i+1}-
ight)
ight)
ight)
ight)$$

#### Hybrid Stochastic Riccati Equations

$$\begin{split} &\dot{\Pi}_{q_{i}} = \Pi_{q_{i}}B_{q_{i}}R_{q_{i}}^{-1}B_{q_{i}}\Pi_{q_{i}} - \Pi_{q_{i}}A_{q_{i}} - A_{q_{i}}^{T}\Pi_{q_{i}} - L_{q_{i}}, \\ &\dot{s}_{q_{i}} = -\left(A_{q_{i}}^{T} - \Pi_{q_{i}}B_{q_{i}}R_{q_{i}}^{-1}B_{q_{i}}^{T}\right)s_{q_{i}}, \\ &\Pi_{q_{L}}(t_{f}) = H_{q_{L}}, \\ &s_{q_{L}}(t_{f};t_{f},x_{f}) = 0 \\ &\Pi_{q_{j-1}}(t_{j};t_{j}) = \Psi_{\sigma_{j}}^{T}\Pi_{q_{j}}\left(t_{j}; \mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{\Im^{t_{j}}}(t_{j+1})\right)\Psi_{\sigma_{j}} \\ &s_{q_{j-1}}(t_{j};t_{j},x_{q_{j-1}}) = \Psi_{\sigma_{j}}^{T}s_{q_{j}}(t_{j};\mathbb{E}(t_{j+1},x_{q_{j}}(t_{j+1}-))) + p_{(t_{j},x_{q_{j-1}})}M_{\sigma_{j}}x_{q_{j-1}}, \\ &\alpha_{(t_{j},x_{q_{j-1}})}p^{2} + \beta_{(t_{j},x_{q_{j-1}})}p + \gamma_{(t_{j},x_{q_{j-1}})} = 0. \end{split}$$

### Example with Switching Manifold

$$dx = \left( \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right] x + \left[ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{array} \right] u \right) dt + \left[ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{array} \right] dw$$

$$dx = \left( \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] x + \left[ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{array} \right] u \right) dt + \left[ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{array} \right] dw$$

$$\int = \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \left\{ \int_{t_0}^{t_1} (u_{q_0}(t))^2 dt + \int_{t_1}^{t_f} (u_{q_1}(t))^2 dt + x_{q_1}(t_f)^T \left[ \begin{array}{c} 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 5 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{array} \right] x_{q_1}(t_f) \right\}$$

### Hybrid LQG Example [Pakniyat, Caines, IFAC 2017]

Hybrid Dynamics:

$$\begin{aligned} q_1: & dx_1 = \left(\frac{31}{16}x_1 + u_1\right)dt + g_1dw, \\ q_2: & dx_2 = \left(\frac{3}{8}x_2 + u_2\right)dt + g_2 dw, \end{aligned}$$

with  $g_1 = 1, \ g_2 = \sqrt{2}g_1 = \sqrt{2}$ 

Controlled Switching Jump Transition Map

$$x_2(t_s) = \sqrt{2} x_1(t_s -)$$

Hybrid Cost:  $J(t_0, t_f, h_0, L; I_L)$   $= \mathbb{E}\left\{\frac{1}{2}\int_{t_0}^{t_s} \left((u_1(t))^2 + \frac{1}{2}(x_1(t))^2\right)dt + \frac{1}{2}\int_{t_s}^{t_f} \left((u_2(t))^2 + \frac{1}{4}(x_2(t))^2\right)dt + \frac{1}{2}\times 6(x_2(t_f))^2\right\}$ 



### Hybrid LQG Example [Pakniyat & Caines, IFAC 2017]

Ten sample paths for continuous states, adjoint processes, continuous inputs and Hamiltonians in the example with  $t_f = 1$  and  $x_0 = 2$ , and



### Generally Nonlinear: PDE–BSDE Duality (Feynman-Kac)

Partial Differential Equation (PDE)

$$V_{t} + \frac{1}{2}tr(g(t,x)g(t,x)^{T}V_{xx}) + V_{x}^{T}f(t,x) + h(t,x,V,g(t,x)^{T}V_{x}) = 0, \quad (t,x) \in [0,T] \times X$$

$$V(T, x) = L(x), \qquad x \in X$$

$$V(\tau, z) = \ell(\tau, z), \qquad (\tau, z) \in [0, T] \times X^{\partial}$$

Backward Stochastic Differential Equation (BSDE)

$$dY_{s} = -h(s, X_{s}, Y_{s}, Z_{s}) ds + Z_{s} dw_{s}$$
$$Y_{\tau} = \begin{cases} \ell(\tau, X_{\tau}), & X_{\tau} \in X^{\partial} \\ L(X_{\tau}), & \tau = T, X_{\tau} \in X^{0} \end{cases}$$

Feynman-Kac Representation

$$Y_t = \mathbb{E}_{t,x} V(t,x)$$
$$Z_t = \mathbb{E}_{t,x} g(t,x)^T V_x(t,x)$$

Stochastic Hybrid Optimal Control

## Summary

#### From Information (History) to State

- Hybrid State
- Hybrid Input

#### From Prediction to the Missing Component

- The [Stochastic] Hybrid Minimum Principle: Adjoint Process
- [Stochastic] Hybrid Dynamic Programming: Value Function

#### Derivation

- Process–Process Duality
- Measure–Function Duality

#### Computation and Implementation

- Generally Nonlinear Dynamics and Costs
- Linear Dynamics and Quadratic Costs
- Polynomial Dynamics and Costs

### **Relevant Publications**

[TAC2017] A. Pakniyat and P. E. Caines, "On the Relation between the Minimum Principle and Dynamic Programming for Classical and Hybrid Control Systems," IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control, vol. 62, no. 9, pp. 4347–4362, 2017

[NAHS2017] A. Pakniyat and P. E. Caines, "Hybrid Optimal Control of an Electric Vehicle with a Dual-Planetary Transmission," Nonlinear Analysis: Hybrid Systems, vol. 25, pp. 263–282, 2017 [MMT2015] M. S. R. Mousavi, A. Pakniyat, T. Wang, and B. Boulet, "Seamless Dual Brake Transmission For Electric Vehicles: Design, Control and Experiment," Mechanism and Machine Theory, vol. 94, pp. 96–118, 2015

[Patent US 9,702,438 B2] B. Boulet, M. S. R. Mousavi, H. V. Alizadeh, and A. Pakniyat, "Seamless Transmission Systems and Methods for Electric Vehicles," Jul. 11 2017, US Patent US 9,702,438 B2

[CDC2019 (accepted)] A. Pakniyat and R. Vasudevan, "A Convex Duality Approach to Optimal Control of Killed Markov Processes," in Manuscript 2039 to appear in the Proceedings of the 58th IEEE Conference on Decision and Control, 2019

[CDC2017] D. Firoozi, A. Pakniyat, and P. E. Caines, "A Mean Field Game - Hybrid Systems Approach to Optimal Execution Problems in Finance with Stopping Times," in Proceedings of the 56th IEEE Conference on Decision and Control, Melbourne, Australia, 2017, pp. 433–441 [CDC2016] A. Pakniyat and P. E. Caines, "On the Stochastic Minimum Principle for Hybrid Systems," 2016, pp. 1139–1144

[IFAC2017] A. Pakniyat and P. E. Caines, "A Class of Linear Quadratic Gaussian Hybrid Optimal Control Problems with Realization–Independent Riccati Equations," 2017, pp. 2241–2246